

Docket No.: 203348US0CONT

RECEIVED

FEB 2 0 2002

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND

MAIER

NEUSTADT

P.C.

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/809,197

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

Applicants: Yusuke AMINO, et al.

Filing Date: March 16, 2001

For: N-ALKYLASPARTYL DIPEPTIDE ESTER

COMPOUNDS
Group Art Unit: 1623
Examiner: M.P. MOON

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT (3 PP.)

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon Attorney of Record Registration No. 24,618

Vincent K. Shier, Ph.D. Registration No. P. 50,552

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) Docket No.: 203348US0CONT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

RE APPLICATION OF:

Yusuke AMINO ET AL

: EXAMINER: MOON

SERIAL NO: 09/809,197

FILED: MARCH 16, 2001

: GROUP ART UNIT: 1623

FOR: N-ALKYLASPARTYL DIPEPTIDE ESTER COMPOUNDS

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT ECEIVED

FEB 2 0 2002

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

SIR:

In response to the Office Action mailed January 15, 2002, Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-29 for further prosecution.

REMARKS

The Office has restricted this application as follows under 35 U.S.C. §121:

Group I:

Claims 1-29, drawn to compounds of formula (1) and compositions

thereof;

Group II.

Claim 30, drawn to a method of using compounds of Claim 1; and

Group III.

Claims 31-34, drawn to processes of making compounds of Claim 1.

Applicants have elected Group I, Claims 1-29 with traverse.

Applicants traverse the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that the claims of Groups II and III are directly dependent on Claim 1 (Group I), and as such are inseparable